

After the Green Rush: Testing Medical Cannabis and CBD users in Chronic Pain Patients

Douglas Gourlay, MD, MSc, FRCP(C), DFASAM

2

3

1

Disclosure

Douglas Gourlay, MD, MSc, FRCP(C), DFASAM Pain and Chemical Dependency Hamilton, Ontario CANADA

Nothing to disclose

Painweek.

2

Objectives

- Discuss drug testing methods in the context of state vs
 federal law
- Examine the Hemp Farming Act 2018, especially as it applies to CBD
- Explain how the "false positive drug test" can happen
- List what your patient can do about the "false positive drug test"
- Review your options in the context of marijuana use and prescription opioids

Drug Testing in America

- In 1986, Ronald Reagan introduced the concept of a "Drug Free America"
 - At that time, J. Michael Walsh, PhD, led the way to develop what became the foundation for regulated drug testing in America*
 - Screening and confirmation methods are relied on to ensure
 - legally valid results

https://www.walshgroup.org/drug_testing.htm

- Sample "chains of custody"
- Highly regulated laboratories performed assays (SAMHSA Certified Lab)
 Only 5 analytes are tested for
- 4

Painweek.

Screens and Confirmatory Testing

Screening tests generally are sensitive but not always specific
 Other immunes the test melocula (a similar)

- Often immunoassay methods where the target molecule (or similar) will form an antigen-antibody reaction reported as +ve
 They are subject to cut-off thresholds, ie, threshold is 300ng/dL –
- 299 is reported as -ve while 301 is reported as +ve
- Prone to cross reactivity problems, ie, "opiate +ve" is that morphine? Codeine? Or something unrelated, ie, olanzapine
- A positive result is often made up of many different analytes, not one specific analyte
- -Some examples: EMIT, ELIZA, RIA, test cups, dip sticks
- these revenues associate the set of the

5

Screens and Confirmations

Confirmation tests

- -Must be confirmed by a 'second scientific method'
- ie, can't confirm by same method
- ie, repeating an EMIT screen twice didn't confirm the validity of the first test... it established reproducibility: "Two wrongs don't make a right!"
- -Confirmatory tests are usually more time consuming, more labor intensive and, so, more expensive than simple screens
- -Each confirmation has a specific analyte at a minimum,

6

- threshold level to be called "confirmed"
- Typical confirmation tests are GC/MS, LC-MS/MS, etc

Regulated Drug Testing – DMV

- Many states have altered the legal status of THC and cannabis products for medical and, in many cases, even recreational use
 But at federal level, cannabis remains Schedule 1 (drugs with no currently accepted medical use and a high potential for abuse)
- So, where federal and state laws differ, the more stringent shall apply –ie, Cannabis remains illegal in ALL of America unless / until it is rescheduled
- Being legal does not mean it is your right to use
 So a Medical Cannabis Card will not protect your safety sensitive job
- against firing

* https://www.ghsa.org/statelaws/issues/drug%20impaired%20driving

Painweek.

7


Regulated Testing Doesn't Comment on Impairment


- With the exception of blood or breath alcohol levels, we have no scientific relationship between levels and degree of intoxication
- That has not stopped the state regulators from setting arbitrary prohibited levels for THC in those operating motor vehicles*
- This is less a problem with alcohol because it is eliminated predictably (zero order kinetics), allowing for fairly accurate estimates of time to peak alcohol level or BAC (blood alcohol concentration) estimates at certain points of time after last drink
- Franjo Grottenherman et al. Developing limits for driving under cannabis. J of American Society
 Plinweek
 8
 8


8

The Hemp Farming Act 2018

- The legal status of cannabis has been complicated - In trying to prohibit THC (the major known psychotropic in cannabis), a major source of fiber for the textile industry was lost
- So, a bill was proposed and passed that reclassified cannabis containing 0.3% THC or less as a legal entity called "hemp"
- Hemp typically contains a varying concentration of CBD, 25% or more
 - $-\operatorname{CBD}$ extracted from hemp is LEGAL
 - CBD extracted from marijuana (ie, hemp with more than 0.3% THC) is ILLEGAL
 - * https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/5485







Cannabinoid concentrations in blood and urine after smoking cannabidiol joints

Study done in Switzerland

- Herbal cigarettes made of hemp with <1% THC and CBD up to 25% are legal
- 200mg hemp/joint; less than 1% THC; CBD <25%
 Split population of nonsmokers and chronic smokers
 Chronic use was modeled as 2 joints / day for 10 days
- Chronic use was modeled as 2 joints / day tor 10 days
 Blood levels of THC / CBB were determined at 4hrs and 20hrs
 Peak levels of THC 2.7 and 4.5ng/mL and CBD levels of 45.7 and 82.6ng/mL
 This is well above the Swiss legal limit to operate a motor vehicle of 1.5ng/mL
 Cannabinoids in general didn't seem to accumulate BUT urinary THC-COOH did
- REMEMBER: the starting material was scientifically quantified!

*Ulf Meier et al Cannabinoid Concentrations in blood and urine after smoking cannabidiol joints, Forensic Science International 291 (2018) 62–67 Painweek. 13

13

"I use pure CBD oil in good faith and fail a THC drug test. What can I do?"

If you made your own CBD oil, you're likely out of luck

- -But if a product lawfully sold in the USA can be shown to be "out of spec," ie, has more than 0.3% THC, there might be a legal case made for "involuntary intoxication"
 - You can be sure this will be a major hassle
 - · More likely something that is established over time, rather than single person / product / test result

14

15

· It will likely cost you a large amount of money

Painweek.

14

"If I used medical marijuana, will I test +ve for THC at screen and confirmation?"

• This one is easy:

- Smoking? Vaping? Edibles? YES
- Topical? Probably
- -Regular pot users will be positive, above virtually any state regulator limit (DMV) even after 1 or 2 weeks abstinence!
- This is due to the significant accumulation of THC in fat tissues associated with regular use
- Is a person impaired after 1 or 2 weeks abstinence? NO, not likely - No reliable relationship between urinary, breath, saliva, or serum level of cannabinoids concentrations AND fitness to operate a motor vehicle

Implications for Marijuana Use in Pain Management

- With federal law remaining as it is... there is risk for both prescriber AND patient, especially with concurrent controlled substance prescribing to marijuana users
 - Legally, it isn't against the law for you to prescribe a controlled substance to a person using an illicit substance (assuming you're doing this in the course of a legitimate medical practice) BUT
 All DEA registrants are required to take steps to reduce the risk of diversion of prescribed controlled substances
- So, what does this mean?
- "Is it illegal to continue to prescribe controlled substances to someone you know is using marijuana?"

16

17

18

Painweek.

16

Prescription Opioids and Marijuana

- ...is it against the law to prescribe? No, but...
 - There are caveats to that statement.
 - 1. Marijuana use is still illegal at the federal level (Schedule 1) 2. You must take reasonable steps to limit the risk of diversion
 - 3. "Ignoring risk" is not acceptable
- So, what can I do?
 - One approach is to simply give the patient a choice: "Either Rx opioids OR marijuana, but not both"
 - An alternative is to identify the concern: "Using marijuana increases your risk so we're going to have to tighten things up to reduce that risk"
 Smaller, more frequent prescriptions; increased clinic appointments

Painweek.

17

"What if marijuana was legal federally and at state levels?"

You would STILL need to assess and manage risk

- -Risk management isn't just because this is currently a Schedule 1 drug
- -Risk management is important to safely manage chronic pain patients WITH or WITHOUT the confounding issue of opioids

Conclusions

- Statewide legalization of cannabis use adds a new dimension of uncertainty to it's role in pain management
- Can it be medically useful? YES, but it likely increases risk
 The lack of oversite and regulatory control results in considerable risk in those who use, especially if on regular UDT or other drug testing
- If you are going to co-prescribe controlled substances to CBD or THC users, be careful: Risk does increase for you AND the patient
 - Many possible actions to take to minimize risk, but one thing you must not do is simply IGNORE IT

19

Painweek.

19

Question 1

- 45 yo banker has been using CBD-containing edibles for the past year. He has purchased them at a local shop that has a reputation of being highly reliable in terms of product assays. He recently was given home-made edibles by his son.
- He was required to provide a urine drug screen with the renewal of his private pilots license. He is not worried as previous drug tests have always been negative.

 $-\,{\rm This}$ time, his urine test results showed significant quantities of THC.

Painweek.

20

Question 1 cont.

• The most likely reason for this test result is:

- -1) due to cross reactivity with the CBD found in the edible products he uses
- $-2)\,$ due to THC contamination of the new edibles given to him by his son
- -3) an error in the lab he should get the test repeated
- -4) accumulation in his CBD levels

Question 1 cont.

- The most likely reason for this test result is:
 - -1) due to cross reactivity with the CBD found in the edible products he uses
 - -2) due to THC contamination of the new edibles given to him by his son
 - -3) an error in the lab he should get the test repeated
 - -4) accumulation in his CBD levels

Painweek.

22

Question 2

 It is illegal to prescribe controlled substances to cannabis users:

-True

-False

Painweek.

23

Question 2

 It is illegal to prescribe controlled substances to cannabis users:

-True

-False

Painweek.

24

Question 3

- Which of the following is a "confirmatory test" for the purposes of regulated drug testing in America
 - -1) Enzyme Multiplied Immunoassay (EMIT)
 - -2) Radio Immune Assay (RIA)
 - -3) Gas Chromatography / Mass Spectroscopy (GC/MS)
 - -4) Scratch and Sniff (S 'n S)

Painweek.

25

Question 3

• Which of the following is a "confirmatory test" for the purposes of regulated drug testing in America

- -1) Enzyme Multiplied Immunoassay (EMIT)
- -2) Radio Immune Assay (RIA)
- -3) Gas Chromatography / Mass Spectroscopy (GC/MS)
- -4) Scratch and Sniff (S 'n S)

Painweek.

26

References

- Contact information
 Douglas Gourlay MD, MSc, FRCP(C), DFASAM
 dourlay@coopec.ca.
- Elvin G. Curtis & Jayant A. Patel (1978) Enzyme Multiplied Immunoassay Technique: A Review, CRC Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences, 9/4, 303-320
 S. Baeck et al. Analysis of cannabinolds in urine samples of short-term and long-term consumers of hemp seed products Forensic Science International 305 (2019)
- Tarmo Nutlinen, Medicinal properties of terpenes found in Cannabis sativa and Humulus lupulus European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 157 (2018)
- European Journal of Weddernal Chemistry 157 (2018) I Issac Chua, et al. Provider Misinterpretation, Documentation, and Follow-Up of Definitive Urine Drug Testing Results (<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-019-05514-5</u>) 2019
- Molnar, Anna et al. The detection of THC, CBD and CBN in the oral fluid of Sativex1 patients using two
 on-site screening tests and LC–MS/MS Forensic Science International 238 (2014) 113–119

27