Pai	NVVE	ЭK.

Comedy of	of Errors:	Methadone a	nd Bu	prenorphine
-----------	------------	-------------	-------	-------------

Douglas Gourlay MD, MSc, FRCP(C), DFASAM

Disclosures		
 Nothing to disclosure 		
inweek.	2	

Learning Objectives

- Explain the pharmacology of methadone and buprenorphine
 Describe methadone and buprenorphine in a case-based model focusing on analgesic conversion

Painweek.

Methadone	
$ullet$ Potent, synthetic μ analgesic, NMDA antagonist	
 Racemic mixture of R- and S-enantiomers Analgesia is largely due to R-enantiomer; S-enantiomer is predominantly NMDA antagonist 	
 Highly variable elimination t_{1/2} 14-40hr (or more) No active metabolites 	
-Makes conversion challenging	
 Accumulation is its strength and liability Hepatic metabolism – largely CYP450 3A4 	
■ QTc prolongation	
Painweek.	
	•
Methadone Clinical Pearls	
• Methadone has no sense of humor!	
-Mistakes made here are often fatal	
 "Start Low – Go Slow" The reason to use methadone should not simply be cost or an insurance 	
directive —If you want/need to use this drug, get an experienced mentor to work with you until you	
are sufficiently experienced	
Painweek. 5	
I THE WOOM	
]
Methadone Kills One of 3 Ways	
 Single overdose Many methadone initiation protocols recommend total starting dose to be 15-30mg/day 	
(in divided doses for pain) Rational is that the limited literature describing methadone overdose has been in excess of	
40mg/day, even in opioid naïve patient -Lethal dose for children is much lower	
6	

]
Methadone Kills One of 3 Ways (cont'd)	
Accumulated toxicity	
-"Today's dose isn't lethal; tomorrow's dose isn't lethal but all the 3 rd days' dose PLUS ½ the 2 nd days total dose PLUS ¼ of the 1 st days dose accumulates to a fatal dose"	
 The most lethal period in methadone treatment is the first 7-10 days (induction phase) Over zealous dose increases are a big risk 	
 No dose increases until after the first 3 days Assuming a drug tuz of 24 hrs, patient has achieved 87.5% of steady state after the 3rd day 	
—If sedation isn't a problem at this point, unlikely that a cautious dose increase will result in sedation d/t accumulated toxicity	
 After initiation phase is over, dose should be increased no more frequently than q7-10days 	
Painweek. 7	
	_
Methadone Kills One of 3 Ways (cont'd)	
■ Drug-drug interactions	
-"methadone dose isn't fatal - the benzodiazepine by itself isn't fatal; but the 2 drugs together lead to a fatal outcome"	
 Most commonly seen with combinations of sedatives PLUS methadone BUT – drug metabolism can also pose significant risks 	
Painweek.	
	7
Drug Metabolism	
 Rapid metabolizers—GENETIC Tend to need more total drug and doses more frequently 	
 Tend to freed infore total drug and doses more frequently Some people simply metabolize through the relevant CYP 450 pathways leading to a significantly lower drug half-life than 24hrs 	
■Poor metabolizers—GENETIC	
- Dose lasts longer - Total daily dose tends to be lower	

	-
	-
Drug Metabolism — <i>latrogenic</i>	
■While genetic variations tend to be fixed, CYP 450 active drugs can	
temporarily alter these pathways changing a normal metabolizer into a rapid or	
even poor metabolizer	
-CYP 450 inducer-eg, phenytoin	
-CYP 450 inhibitor-eg, macrolide antibiotics	
Pain Week.	
	_
Methadone Case Example	
 65 yo woman on methadone 5 mg q8h Dx post herpetic neuralgia 	
Also on carbamazepine for her neuropathic pain	
-Patient has been stable, with good pain control but bothered by carbamazepine s/e	
Decision is made to switch to gabapentin	
 Patients husband calls after 5 days to complain his wife is somnolent; 	
difficult to rouse	
Pain week.	
Rinween	
	1
What's Happened?	
■ Patient was on a stable dose of methadone, beyond the first 2 weeks of high	
risk initiation BUT	
-A potent 3A4 inducer was discontinued	
Gabapentin does NOT affect 3A4 pathway	
-So, in effect, the patient has had a significant effective increase in her methadone dose	
because she no longer rapidly metabolizes methadone	
	1

Methadone Conversion	
 Several things to consider Is the patient on lower dose morphine (<300mg/day MME) 	
 Methadone: morphine ~1:10 but varies! Do you want fast or slower conversion 	-
UK protocol vs Edmonton protocol Any concurrent disorders, ie, substance use?	
• Age; resp illness, etc	
Painweek.	
	1
Edmonton Protocol	
•General principles	
-Calculate approximate daily methadone equivalency	
 Highly variable—many tables online Incur "opioid debt," ie, reduce first opioid by 20% (for a 5 day rotation cycle) 	_
 Add methadone in divided dose (bid/tid) Titrating upward as first opioid is reduced 	
-By day 5, off first opioid—titrate methadone according to best practices	-
http://www.palliative.org/NewPC/_pdfs/education/ACB%20Hospice%20Palliative%20Manual.pdf	
Painweek.	
PainWeek.	
Buprenorphine	
The Versatile Molecule	
	•

	_
Consider the Case of Mr. Black	
 65 year old former bank chairman with longstanding history of painful, burning legs Dx peripheral neuropathy due to poorly controlled diabetes 	
 Reason for referral is to assess current opioid use Patient states "I just can't seem to come off these Percocet®" 	
Current pain medications: —OxycodoneIAPAP 5/255" up to 10 per day" —Pregabalin 75 rgg twice daily —Duloxeline 30mg twice daily	
Painweek 16	
	_
	_
Mr. Black (cont'd)	
According to the referral note, Mr. Black has improved significantly	
since the addition of pregabalin/duloxetine however 'he hasn't	
been able to stop his use of oxycodone' -"I've tried to stop my Percs but each time, my pain gets much worse"	
Past medication regimen includes controlled release oxycodone	
80mg 'up to 4 times per day' (total of 320mg/day) with oxycodone immediate release 10mg 'maximum of 10 per day'	
Painweek.	
	<u>_</u>
So, back to the case	
■Mr. Black's risk assessment was deemed to be:	
-"LOW"	
 His worsening pain on discontinuing IR opioids Not evidence of ongoing opioid responsive pain but rather withdrawal 	
mediated pain	
 His multiple failed attempts at stopping use of IR oxycodone suggested a new strategy was necessary 	
-What about buprenorphine in this situation?	

	•
Buprenorphine	
■Developed in 1966 by Reckitt & Coleman in Hull, England	
-John Lewis, doctoral student under Sir Robert Robinson	
(identified the structure of morphine in 1925) -Pharmacologic profile disclosed in 1972 at	
College on Problems of Drug Dependency annual meeting	
Developed as a 'safe, effective analgesic with	-
very little physical dependence'	
-Marketed as an injectable in very low doses (ie, 0.4mg/ml)	
-ivial keted as an injectable in very low doses (ie, 0.4mg/mi)	
Daily (19	
Painweek. 19	
	1
Brief Overview: What We Thought	
 Buprenorphine is a semisynthetic partial μ agonist (and κ antagonist) 	
-Initially used as analgesic; now 1° maintenance agonist therapy (MAT)	
-Linear μ effect at lower doses	
-Morphine equivalency of ~40:1 over linear range	
-Improved safety profile due to "ceiling effect"	
-Available as SL mono/naloxone-combo tablet - for DATA 2000	
Painweek.	
Pharmacology	
Derived from opium alkaloid thebain	
■ Terminal elimination t½ ~24-60 hours but:	
-Analgesic duration of action is ~6-8 hrs	
-MAT duration of action is ~24-48 hrs	
Poor oral bioavailability but well absorbed by	
sublingual/parenteral/transdermal route	
■CYP 450 3A4 (lesser 2C8) metabolism through N-dealkylation	
(like methadone)	
-But serum levels don't dictate therapeutic effect (compared to methadone)	

Pharmacology (cont'd)	
Very high receptor affinity Once attached, remains until the receptor is recycled **Table 1.14** **Table 2.14** **Table 2	
 Less than complete receptor occupancy needed to effect MAT action Can precipitate withdrawal in full µ dependent users But can always add full µ agonist to patient on buprenorphine 	
without fear of inducing withdrawal	
Pain/Meek.	
	•
]
Buprenorphine Redux	
The partial µ agonist role is under review* Evidence suggests that the molecule may be a full agonist in the role of analgesic While being a partial agonist in terms of respiratory depression	-
 Buprenorphine is thought to have antinociceptive effects through ORL-1 receptors° 	
-ORL-1 may play a role in apparent ceiling effect of the drug Buprenorphine is complicated!	
*Peopliss et al, Pain Practice 2010 10(5):428-450 *Lutly and Cowan, Curr Neuropharm 2004 2(4): 395-402 Pain WOOK. 23	
ILLIN MASSA	J -
	1
Buprenorphine Available Forms	
Buprenorphine was available only as an injectable	
 More recently, as sublingual and transdermal formulations Buprenorphine 'mono-product' 	
SL tablets of buprenorphine HCI Buprenorphine 'combination-product'	
SL tablets of buprenorphine HCl/naloxone 4:1 -Buprenorphine transdermal system -7 day matrix patch (5, 10, 20p/hr)	
• 4 day matrix patch (35, 52.5, 70µ/hr) —Buprenorphine trans-buccal q12h dosing	

24

Painweek.

Conversion From High-Dose Full-Opioid Agonists to
Sublingual Buprenorphine

- 2 papers outline the use of SL buprenorphine conversion in physically dependent pain patients both were observational reports based on retrospective chart analysis
- -Jonathan Daitch et al Pain Physician 2012 15:ES59-66 -Jonathan Daitch et al Pain Medicine 2014 15(12); 2087-2094

	•	۸ ۸	\sim	1/

25

Conversion of Chronic Pain Patients

- Results show a significant decrease in pain scores and in the second study, improvements in quality of life
- Overall decrease of 51% in pain scores before/after conversion with no statistical difference between initial pain ratings of 0-7 vs 8-10

 —QoL improved from 6.1 before conversion to 7.1 (P=0.005)
- As well, the greater QoL improvements were seen in those converting from the higher doses of opioids
- -Average dose of buprenorphine SL was 28.11 \pm 5.94mg

Painweek.

Back to Mr. Black

- •Might he be a candidate for conversion to buprenorphine?
 - -If yes, in what capacity?
 - Opioid rotation?

 At what dose conversion?
 - Opioid maintenance?
 - -At what daily dose?
 - Opioid withdrawal management? -At what dose?

Painweek.

27

]
Mr. Black	
After thorough discussion about risks (especially of ongoing maintenance with	
buprenorphine) and benefits	
–Patient was advised to reduce his immediate release oxycodone by 50% at which point a $5\mu/hr$ TDS-buprenorphine was applied	
 He was encouraged to not use his oral oxycodone but to take only if necessary Over the week, he continued to reduce his oral opioid 	
The goal was 1) Discontinue his oxycodone/acetaminophen use and	
 -2) Remain on lowest dose of TDS-Buprenorphine necessary to eliminate w/d symptoms 	
28	
Painweek. 28	
	1
Mr. Black (cont'd)	
• On day 3, he was asked to call in to speak with our nurse regarding progress	
–If necessary, the patch was increased to $10\mu/hr$ after day 3 –He was cautioned NOT to interpret a worsening of his pain symptoms as evidence of	
failure until he was on a steady (and optimal) dose of TDS-buprenorphine	
Painweek. 29	
	-
Mr. Black conclusion	
Successfully discontinued oxycodone/APAP use after first	
week on TDS-buprenorphine	
–Ultimately stabilized on 10μ /hr transdermal patch	
 Elected to remain on patch; minimal side effects May decide to discontinue the patch at a later date 	
may decide to discontinue the patch at a later date	
30	

Acute Pain Management	
Can you add full agonists to patients chronically using partial agonists?	
-Will you ppt w/d? - NO, NEVER	
 Should you chronically use full agonists with patients on partial µ agonists? NO – generally not 	
Are full agonists effective with patient's on buprenorphine? YES	
<u></u>	
Painweek. 31	
Final Thoughts	
Consider using buprenorphine in low AND high dose opioid users	
who are unable to discontinue use through simple tapers	
 High doses of opioids more often reflect patient tolerance NOT patient need While general trends may be useful, there is no reliable way to 'estimate' 	
ultimate stabilizing dose of drug	
Goal is NOT 'therapeutic equivalency', the goal is opioid stability	
Painweek 32	
PAINWEEN	
Questions	
The analgesic window for SL buprenorphine is:	
1. 4 hrs 2. 8 hrs	
3. 12 hrs	
4. 24 hrs	
2) Buprenorphine is a partial μ agonist and a potent κ:1. Agonist	
2. Inverse agonist	
3. Antagonist	
Painweek	

ヘ.		eti	-		_
u	16	CTI	n	n	c

- 3) In terms of methadone for the treatment of chronic pain, the long $t_{1/\!\!2}$ makes once-daily dosing practical
- 1. True 2. False
- 4) With respect to rapid and slow drug metabolism, *iatrogenically slow* metabolisers can be defined as:
- The temporary slowing of drug metabolism by the addition of a potent inhibitor of the relevant CYP 450 pathway
- The temporary slowing of drug metabolism by the addition of a potent inducer of the relevant CYP 450 pathway
- Irrelevant since in pain management we titrate dose to effect

Painweek.

References

- Transbuccal buprenorphine delivery system

- https://www.belbuca.com/hcb/#
 Danielle Daitch MD1 et al Conversion from High-Dose Full-Opioid Agonists to Sublingual Buprenorphine Reduces Pain Scores and Improves Quality of Life for Chronic Pain Patients. Pain Medicine Volume 15. Issue 12. pages 2087–2094, December 2014
- Heit HA and D Gourlay, Buprenorphine: New tricks with an old molecule for Pain Management, Clinical J of Pain, 2008; 24:93-97
- dgourlav@cogeco.ca (Dr Douglas Gourlay feel free to contact)

Painweek.